Division: Agency for Persons with Disabilities

Board:

Rule Numbers: 65G-2.001, .0018, F.A.C. Rule Description: Personal Allowance

Contact Person: Brett Taylor

<u>Please remember to analyze the impact of the rule, NOT the statute, when completing this form.</u>

| A. Is the rule likely to, directly or indirectly , have an acgrowth, private-sector job creation or employment, or private of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implement | -sector investm | ent in excess |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| 1. Is the rule likely to reduce personal income? | Yes | ⊠ No |
| 2. Is the rule likely to reduce total non-farm employment? | Yes | ⊠ No |
| 3. Is the rule likely to reduce private housing starts? | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No |
| 4. Is the rule likely to reduce visitors to Florida? | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No |
| 5. Is the rule likely to reduce wages or salaries? | Yes | ⊠ No |
| 6. Is the rule likely to reduce property income? | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No |

Explanation: These rules do not directly or indirectly have any adverse impact on economic growth, private-sector job creation or employment, or private-sector investment.

The purpose of these rules is to implement mandatory rules pursuant to section 402.33, Florida Statutes ("F.S."). which requires the Agency to, "either charge, assess, or collect, or cause to be charged, assessed, or collected, fees for any service it provides to its clients either directly or through its agencies or contractors" and that such fees can only be collected from Agency clients' third-party benefits and benefit payments.

Section 393.066, F.S., tasks the Agency with providing services to eligible individuals as determined in accordance with 393.065, F.S. Among those services is residential facility services which includes room and board. See 393.063, F.S.

It is also anticipated that that the General Appropriation Act 2023 will establish the room and board rate and the personal needs allowance as a proviso attached to the annual special appropriation for room and board funds for Agency clients.

These rules will implement the maximum reimbursement rate the Agency for Persons with Disabilities (Agency) will pay to residential facilities, licensed pursuant to s. 393.067, F.S., that provide room and board to Agency clients.

The reimbursement rate has been included in the operating procedure, APD OP 3-0001, which has been in place since 2004, last updated in 2014. The operating procedure calculated the maximum reimbursement rate as being up to \$583.42 (after taking into consideration client financial responsibility being paid out of third-party benefits and setting aside a personal allowance for the benefit of the client pursuant to s. 402.33, F.S.).

Personal Allowance

The personal needs allowance deduction from the SSI payment. The rule specifies that Agency clients receive eighteen (18%) percent of the federal benefit rate.

This personal allowance calculation has two consequences itself. It will ensure that Agency clients receive 1) a minimum personal needs allowance; and 2) will keep any proportional cost-of-living increase.

The figure of \$133.58 has been in use since 2014. The rule does specify a percentage, which is new. The percentage serves to allow the client to keep any cost-of-living increase proportionally and automatically, instead of having it applied wholly to room and board. This increases regulatory certitude and obviates the need for updates due to cost-of-living increases. Furthermore, the Personal Needs Allowance amount is anticipated to be specifically included in proviso with the room and board appropriation, which is likely to follow year-after-year consistent with the formula in the rule.

Section 393.067(1) provides the Agency shall by rule set facility and client care standards.

Section 393.066(1), F.S. requires that "[t]he agency shall plan, develop, organize, and implement its programs of services and treatment for persons with developmental disabilities to allow clients to live as independently as possible in their own homes or communities and to achieve productive lives as close to normal as possible." The personal needs allowance is part of that planning, development, and organization because the personal needs allowance contributes to both current maintenance and the material needs to live in the community. Indeed, the personal needs allowance aids in residents' pursuit of happiness and autonomy of leisure and discretionary activities, hallmarks of community living. *Accord* §393.13(2)(e), (3)(c), (4)(b).

Section 393.066(1), F.S., also allows the Agency to purchase necessary services in lieu of providing services, directly, to clients when it is more cost-effective. The policy also describes how room and board payments would be paid out of client third-party benefits, after a personal allowance is deducted from said benefits.

In accordance with s. 402.33(2), F.S., the Agency, is required to establish, by rule, a process for the collection of fees from clients for services provided by contractors. Moreover, pursuant to 402.33(3) the Agency can set requirements and standards for designation of representative payees. These fees are collected from the clients' third-party benefits and benefit payments. The licensed facility is to set aside the personal allowance for the clients' sole benefit.

Through this lens, the personal needs allowance could be viewed as a fee charged by the Agency that is directly given back to the client by the provider.

However, the fee is not an "adverse impact" or "regulatory cost" under s. 120.54 or 120.541. Providers have been voluntarily following this calculation since its inception in 2004, and the current rates since 2014. Nothing in this rule charges an additional regulatory or adverse fee that has not been previously assented to.

Indeed, this proceeding to codify is being done based on feedback received from providers and stakeholders that the lack of cost-of-living updates and plain rule language was placing providers and clients in an uncertain position. Placing the percentage in the personal needs allowance section ensures that the client will keep any proportional cost of living increase, while allowing the provider and client to negotiate the difference.

Rate calculation

This rate has been historically implemented and assented to by providers. However, an objective basis exists for this rate.

Code of Federal Regulations 20 C.F.R. §416.640 states: (a) Current maintenance. We will consider that payments we certify to a representative payee have been used for the use and benefit of the beneficiary if they are used for the beneficiary's current maintenance. Current maintenance includes costs incurred in obtaining food, shelter, clothing, medical care and personal comfort items."

In addition, Florida Statutes also contemplate such a use.

"Persons with developmental disabilities shall receive services, within available sources, which protect the personal liberty of the individual and which are provided in the least restrictive conditions necessary to achieve the purpose of treatment." 393.13(3)(c)

"It is the clear, unequivocal intent of this act to guarantee individual dignity, liberty, pursuit of happiness, and protection of the civil and legal rights of persons with developmental disabilities." §393.13(2)(e), Fla. Stat.

Each client has the right to the possession and use of his or her own clothing and personal effects . . . " §393.13(4)(b), Fla. Stat.

As a guide, the Social Security Administration uses Program Operations Manual System. The POMS is a primary source of information used by Social Security employees to process claims for Social Security benefits. The public version of POMS is identical to the version used by Social Security employees except that it does not include internal data entry and sensitive content instructions.

While only guidance, the POMS give insight to what is an appropriate category of use for personal needs expenditures. POMS GN 00602.010 lists nine categories of expenditures as examples:

a. Clothing

Disability-related adaptive clothing, bathing suits and caps, boots, gloves, hats, scarves, seasonal garments, shoes, slippers, etc.

b. Convenience Items

Music players, e-readers, computers, phones, clocks, clothes hamper, stationery, televisions, wristwatches, etc.

c. Health and Hygiene Items

Bath scale, brushes, combs, nail clippers, cosmetics, cosmetic surgery, dermatology treatments, elective or cosmetic dental treatments, hairdresser or barber costs, soaps, toilet articles, etc.

d. Hobby and Craft Items

Art supplies, cameras, photo albums, games, athletic equipment, etc.

e. Living Area Furnishings

Bedspreads, blankets, carpets, curtains, lockable chest or trunk, mirrors, pictures, pillows, posters, quilts, recliner, rocking chair, etc.

f. Miscellaneous Items

Magazine subscriptions, reasonably priced holiday presents for family or friends, restaurant meals, gift cards for movies or music, etc.

g. Staff Travel Items

Using the beneficiary's funds to pay the expenses incurred by staff accompanying the beneficiary on an outing or vacation may be an acceptable use, provided:

a correlation exists between the expense incurred and a direct benefit to the beneficiary;

the beneficiary does not have unmet current or foreseeable needs;

the expense is reasonable in relation to the beneficiary's conserved funds; and

the staff member's travel expense (e.g., food, transportation, lodging, admission ticket) is not paid for by the payee organization or from another source. The payee should never use beneficiary funds to pay for a staff member's salary or hourly wage or to compensate the payee for these costs.

NOTE: A payee must obtain SSA approval prior to using benefits for staff travel items. Add a relationship note in eRPS to document the approval, see MS 07415.002 Make Note.

h. Therapeutic Equipment

If recommended by a doctor or appropriate therapist, and not covered by any other source: book holders, crawlers, electric wheelchairs, feeding aids, hearing aids, orthopedic shoes, shower and bathroom chairs, toilet aids, walkers, etc.

i. Transportation Expenses

Beneficiary's cost of travel to visit family or for relatives to visit patient in special cases, travel to amusement parks, State fairs, summer camps, etc. Payees should never use beneficiary funds for transportation costs that are normally covered by the payee organization or another source.

Often times, these items will already be provided as basic services through the waiver or existing rules. The following estimates and above examples are given in the context of an approximation of what an average resident would spend on typical personal needs expenses over and above what the facility was required to provide.

For instance, Rule 65G-2.009(4)(a), *Florida Administrative Code*, allows for expenditures under \$25 to not need a receipt or accounting. Most providers give residents \$20 - \$25 a week as part of this rule. Assuming a four-week month, this would leave \$33.58 to save or otherwise use as discretionary spending.

However, the \$25/week allowance can and should be used for the above categories. The following is APD's objective calculation based on its training and daily experience interacting with and regulating providers.

a. Clothing

\$15/month

b. Convenience Items

Assuming this would be an electronic device or a larger expenditure, \$25/month could be saved or applied toward this.

c. Health and Hygiene Items

\$15 toward haircuts, grooming supplies, etc.

d. Hobby and Craft Items

\$15 for hobbies, board or video games, puzzles, DVD movies.

e. Living Area Furnishings

65G-2 requires much of this, a dresser, bedding, linens. The typical discretionary expenses are thus lower, around \$10. This would be used for more individualized decorations: posters, framed prints, throw pillows, etc.

f. Miscellaneous Items

\$50 for restaurants, movies, recreation.

g. Staff Travel Items

In Florida, these travel expenses are largely covered by the waiver.

h. Therapeutic Equipment

In Florida, this equipment is largely covered by the waiver.

i. Transportation Expenses

This expense is highly variable due to individual conditions. This would likely be part of the savings under the conserved funds. Examples of expenses are holiday or special event travel, like fuel or airfare.

The personal needs allowance serves to ensure that clients with developmental intellectual disabilities can live as independently as possible in the community, consistent with sections 402.033(3) and 393.066(1).

The money that is being set aside is not the property of the Agency licensed facilities but is an entitlement of the Agency client that resides at such facility vis a vis Florida statute.

Additionally, should the personal needs allowance set-aside reduce the remaining third-party benefit amount to less than the set rate for room and board owed to a licensed facility, the Agency will make up the difference up to the maximum rate, ensuring the provider is fully compensated using money appropriated for such purpose. The rule will serve to give regulatory certitude to providers, who will have a calculation in the rule, and clients, who will be certain they will continue to proportionally keep any cost-of-living increase to their personal needs allowance from a benefit source.

If any of these questions are answered "Yes," presume that there is a likely and adverse impact in excess of \$1 million, and the rule must be submitted to the legislature for ratification.

| B. | ls | the | rule | likely | to, | direc | tly o | r inc | directly | , ha | ave a | ın adv | erse/ | impa | ct on | busine | ess |
|--------|------|------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|-------|----------|------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|----------|-----|
| | • | | | • | | | | , | persons | | _ | | | | | | |
| with | n pe | erso | ns (| doing | bus | siness | in c | other | states | or | dom | estic | mark | ets, | produ | ctivity, | or |
| inno | ovat | tion | in ex | cess | of \$1 | 1 millic | n in 1 | the a | ggrega | te w | ithin | 5 yeaı | s afte | r the | impler | nentat | ion |
| of the | ne r | ule? | No. | | | | | | | | | - | | | - | | |

| 1. | Is th | e rule | likely | to r | raise | the | price | of | goods | or | services | provided | by | Florida |
|-----------|-------|--------|------------------|------|-------|-----|-------|----|-------|----|----------|----------|----|---------|
| business? |) | | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| | □ ' | Yes | \triangleright | N | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Is the rule likely to add regulation that is not present in other states or markets?

2.

| | ☐ Yes | No | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|-----------------|
| 3. are able | | • | | r services Florida businesse expensive to produce? | es |
| 4. | Is the rule like | ely to cause Floric | da businesses to re | educe workforces? | |
| | - | _ | atory costs to the epment or other inn | extent that Florida businesse ovation? | es |
| 6. | Is the rule like ☐ Yes | ely to make illega No | al any product or se | ervice that is currently legal | ? |
| busines | | ness, producti | | have an adverse impact of ion as listed above. Se | |
| | : 41 | | | | |
| adverse | | cess of \$1 milli | | ne that there is a likely ar must be submitted to th | |
| adverse legislatu C. Is th transaction | impact in exc re for ratificati e rule likely, di onal costs (see | cess of \$1 milli ion. rectly or indired F below for exa | on, and the rule | egulatory costs, including antional costs), in excess of S | he ny |
| adverse legislatu C. Is th transaction million in | impact in exc re for ratificati e rule likely, di onal costs (see | cess of \$1 milli ion. rectly or indired F below for exa within 5 years af | on, and the rule ctly, to increase reamples of transact | egulatory costs, including antional costs), in excess of S | he ny |
| adverse legislatu C. Is th transaction in 1. Cu | impact in exc are for ratification e rule likely, disponal costs (see the aggregate | cess of \$1 milli ion. rectly or indired F below for exa within 5 years af costs | on, and the rule ctly, to increase reamples of transact | must be submitted to the egulatory costs, including an ional costs), in excess of stion of this rule? | he ny |
| adverse legislatu C. Is th transaction in 1. Cu 2. No | impact in exc are for ratification e rule likely, distand costs (see the aggregate value are time | cess of \$1 milli ion. rectly or indirect e F below for exa within 5 years af costs | on, and the rule ctly, to increase reamples of transact | egulatory costs, including an ional costs), in excess of Stion of this rule? | he ny |
| C. Is the transaction in the cut of the cut | impact in exc ire for ratificati e rule likely, di onal costs (see the aggregate urrent one-time ew one-time cos | cess of \$1 milli ion. rectly or indirect e F below for exa within 5 years af costs | on, and the rule ctly, to increase reamples of transact | egulatory costs, including artional costs), in excess of Stion of this rule? \$0.00 | he ny |
| adverse legislatu C. Is th transaction in 1. Cu 2. Ne 3. Su 4. Cu | impact in exc ire for ratification of the rule likely, disponal costs (see the aggregate was a surrent one-time ew one-time costs that the reconstruction of the reconstruction | cess of \$1 milli ion. rectly or indirect F below for examine the property of t | on, and the rule ctly, to increase reamples of transact | egulatory costs, including antional costs), in excess of Stion of this rule? \$0.00 \$0.00 | he ny |
| adverse legislatu C. Is the transaction in 1. Cu 2. Ne 3. Su 4. Cu 5. Ne | impact in exc ire for ratification of the rati | cess of \$1 milli ion. rectly or indirect e F below for examithin 5 years afformations costs sts | on, and the rule ctly, to increase reamples of transact | egulatory costs, including articonal costs), in excess of Stion of this rule? \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 | he ny |
| adverse legislatu C. Is the transaction in 1. Cu 2. Ne 3. Su 4. Cu 5. Ne 6. Su | impact in exc ire for ratification of the rule likely, distributed in the costs (see the aggregate was one-time costs). The costs of the recurring of the costs of the recurring of the costs of the cos | cess of \$1 milli ion. rectly or indirect e F below for examithin 5 years afformations costs sts | ctly, to increase reamples of transacter the implementa | egulatory costs, including articonal costs), in excess of Stion of this rule? \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 | he ny |

9. Add 3 to 8 \$0.00

If 9. is greater than \$1 million, there is likely an increase of regulatory costs in excess of \$1 million, and the rule must be submitted to the legislature for ratification.

- D. Good faith estimates (numbers/types):
 - 1. The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule. (Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used for the number of individuals and methodology used for deriving the estimate).

The number of entities that are potentially impacted is estimated to be under 2,129—which is the number of Agency licensed facilities as of May 1, 2022. The actual number is unknown, but is likely less, as it would only include such facilities that act as a representative payee of a third-party benefits or benefit payments for any resident(s) whom is an Agency client. However, all 2,129 facilities could potentially fall under the requirements of these rules.

2. A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

Agency clients residing at Agency licensed residential facilities who also receive third-party benefits and/or benefit payments for whom the facility is the representative payee.

Licensees of Agency licensed group homes, whenever they serve as representative payees of third-party benefits and benefit payments on behalf of any resident of the licensed residential facility. The benefits received by the facilities go towards payment of the clients' room and board. Section 402.33, F.S., requires that a portion of those benefits be set aside for the benefit of the client, which the client may then use to pay for personal items or services.

| S |) |
|---|---|
| | S |

| 1. | Cost to the department of implementing the proposed rule: |
|----|---|
| | \boxtimes None. The department intends to implement the proposed rule within its current workload, with existing staff. |
| | Minimal. (Provide a brief explanation). |
| | Other. (Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used and methodology used for deriving the estimate) |

| | 2. | Cost to any other state and local government entities of implementing the proposed rule: |
|----|-------------------------|--|
| | | None. This proposed rule will only affect the department. |
| | | Minimal. (Provide a brief explanation). |
| | | Other. (Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used and methodology used for deriving the estimate). |
| | 3. | Cost to the department of enforcing the proposed rule: |
| | | \boxtimes None. The department intends to enforce the proposed rule within its current workload with existing staff. |
| | | Minimal. (Provide a brief explanation). |
| | | Other. (Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used and methodology used for deriving the estimate). |
| | 4. | Cost to any other state and local government of enforcing the proposed rule: |
| | | None. This proposed rule will only affect the department. |
| | | Minimal. (Provide a brief explanation). |
| | | Other. (Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used and methodology used for deriving the estimate). |
| F. | en of inst pro | and faith estimates (transactional costs) likely to be incurred by individuals and tities, including local government entities, required to comply with the requirements the proposed rule. (Includes filing fees, cost of obtaining a license, cost of equipment required to be talled or used, cost of implementing processes and procedures, cost of modifying existing processes and cedures, additional operating costs incurred, cost of monitoring, and cost of reporting, or any other costs sessary to comply with the rule). |
| | | None. This proposed rule will only affect the department. |
| | | Minimal. (Provide a brief explanation). |
| | the | Other. (Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used and methodology used for deriving estimate). |
| | | analysis of the impact on small business as defined by s. 288.703, F.S., and an alysis of the impact on small counties and small cities as defined by s. 120.52, F.S. s: |

- Why the regulation is needed [e.g., How will the regulation make the regulatory process more efficient? Required to meet changes in federal law? Required to meet changes in state law?];
- The type of small businesses that would be subject to the rule;
- The probable impact on affected small businesses [e.g., increased reporting requirements; increased staffing; increased legal or accounting fees?];
- The likely per-firm regulatory cost increase, if any).

A small business is defined in Section 288.703, F.S., as "...an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As applicable to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments."

A small county is defined in Section 120.52(19), F.S., as "any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census." And, a small city is defined in Section 120.52(18), F.S., as "any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census."

| The estimated h | umber of sma | iii businesses that | would be subj | ect to the rule: |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|
| □ 1-99 | | □ 100-499 | | ⊠ 500-999 |

Analysis of the impact on small business:

Why the regulation is needed

The set-aside and the collection of room and boards fee from third-party benefits and benefit payments is required by s. 402.33, F.S., and Agency licensed facilities have otherwise been voluntarily following the current policy as to a minimum amount, which these rules seek to codify. The rules are required to establish a minimum amount that must be set aside by an Agency licensed facility whenever it serves as representative payee for third-party benefits and/or benefit payments of an Agency client who resides within that facility. Furthermore, the standard is necessary to ensure a greater level of independence for Agency clients living in the community, i.e., the ability to use a portion of their funds for purposes that is not covered by room and board pursuant to sections 393.066(1) and 402.33(3).

The type of small businesses that would be subject to the rule

Agency licensed residential facilities that serve as a representative payee of certain third-party benefits and/or benefit payments of Agency clients that reside within the licensed residential facility.

The probable impact on affected small businesses and The likely per-firm regulatory cost increase

Agency-licensed facilities are eligible for room and board compensation from the Agency at a rate initially set by appropriation proviso that was placed in policy, which shall now be codified in rule. Thus, should the personal needs allowance rule reduce a reduce third-party benefits or benefit payments amount to less than the set rate for room and board, the Agency will make up the difference, ensuring the provider is fully compensated. Therefore, Agency-licensed facilities required to comply with this Rule will not be financially impacted.

Accordingly, with respect to these proposed rules, there is no financial impact on small business and no per-firm regulatory cost increase.

Establishing less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rule Section 120.54(3)(b)2.a.(I), Florida Statutes

Establishing less stringent compliance and reporting requirements in the rules would undermine the purpose and requirements of chapters 393 and 402. The Agency is required to implement the collection of fees and that a set-aside be deducted from said fees to ensure the "development and implementation of community-based services that will enable individuals with developmental disabilities to achieve their greatest potential for independent and productive living, enable them to live in their own homes or in residences located in their own communities, and permit them to be diverted or removed from unnecessary institutional placements." Fla. Stat. § 393.062.

Establishing less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rule for compliance or reporting requirements S. 120.54(3)(b)2.a.(II), F.S.

This Rule does not establish any particular time frames for compliance.

Consolidating or simplifying the rule's compliance or reporting requirements S. 120.54(3)(b)2.a.(III), F.S.

The Rule cannot be further simplified. It establishes a minimum personal needs allowance based upon a simple calculation for future adjustment which is linked to the maximum federal benefits rate, which is also consistent with the legislative intent set in a proviso as part of the General Appropriations Act 2023. The maximum federal

benefit rate is publicly available and can be found through a simple internet search, which the Agency will also make available on its website.

Establishing performance standards or best management practices to replace design or operational standards in the rule S. 120.54(3)(b)2.a.(IV), F.S.

To allow this minimum standard to be set as merely a best practice would allow for potential inconsistency in its application and enforcement. This Rule is to allow for greater consistency and predictability by licensees as to what is expected of them.

Exempting small businesses, small counties, or small cities from any or all requirements of the rule S. 120.54(3)(b)2.a.(V), F.S.

Exempting small businesses from any or all requirements of the rules would undermine the purpose of these rules as it relates to chapters 393 and 402. The small businesses that work with the Agency, and its clients, must be bound by the deadlines and standards in the rule otherwise the rule would cease to function. There is no disproportionate impact on small businesses compared to large businesses the Agency works with.

| | $oxed{\boxtimes}$ There is no small county or small city that will be impacted by this proposed rule. |
|----|---|
| | ☐ A small county or small city will be impacted. Analysis: |
| | $\hfill \square$ Lower impact alternatives were not implemented? Describe the alternatives and the basis for not implementing them. |
| Η. | Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful. |
| | None. |
| | ☐ Additional. |
| l. | A description of any good faith written proposal for a lower cost regulatory alternative to the proposed rule which substantially accomplishes the objectives of the law being implemented and either a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. |
| | $\hfill \square$ No good faith written proposals for a lower cost regulatory alternative to the proposed rule were received. |
| | ☐ See attachment "A". |

| Adopted in entirety. |
|--|
| Adopted / rejected in part. (Provide a description of the parts adopted or rejected, and provide a brief statement of the reasons adopting or rejecting this alternative in part). |
| Rejected in entirety. (Provide a brief statement of the reasons rejecting this alternative). |
| ☐ See attachment "B". |
| ☐ Adopted in entirety. |
| Adopted / rejected in part. (Provide a description of the parts adopted or rejected, and provide a brief statement of the reasons adopting or rejecting this alternative in part). |
| Rejected in entirety. (Provide a brief statement of the reasons rejecting this alternative). |
| ☐ See attachment "C". |
| ☐ Adopted in entirety. |
| Adopted / rejected in part. (Provide a description of the parts adopted or rejected, and provide a brief statement of the reasons adopting or rejecting this alternative in part). |
| Rejected in entirety. (Provide a brief statement of the reasons rejecting this alternative). |
| ☐ See attachment "D". |
| ☐ Adopted in entirety. |
| Adopted / rejected in part. (Provide a description of the parts adopted or rejected, and provide a brief statement of the reasons adopting or rejecting this alternative in part). |
| Rejected in entirety. (Provide a brief statement of the reasons rejecting this alternative). |
| ☐ See attachment "E". |
| ☐ Adopted in entirety. |
| Adopted / rejected in part. (Provide a description of the parts adopted or rejected, and provide a brief statement of the reasons adopting or rejecting this alternative in part). |
| Rejected in entirety. (Provide a brief statement of the reasons rejecting this alternative). |